



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/667,072	09/21/2000	Jin Soo Lee	P-128	9016
37803 7590 03/27/2007 SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD LLP 555 CALIFORNIA STREET SUITE 2000 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104-1715			EXAMINER TRAN, PHILIP B	
			ART UNIT 2155	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 03/27/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/667,072	Applicant(s) LEE ET AL.	
	Examiner Philip B. Tran	Art Unit 2155	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Philip B. Tran - Primary Examiner. (3)_____.

(2) Ferenc Pazmandi (L0078). (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 22 March 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 13 and 21.

Identification of prior art discussed: Gerace (U.S. Pat. No. 5,991,735) and Sezn et al (U.S. Pat. No. 6,236,395).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed about claim 13 (102 Rejection) and claim 21 (103 Rejection). For claim 13, the examiner explains how the examiner interprets the term "preference value" (see Gerace, Col. 2, Lines 10-67). For claim 21, the examiner explains how the examiner comes up with the motivation for combining two references (see Sezan, Col. 22, Lines 4-32).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Philip Tran
PRIMARY EXAMINER
Examiner's signature, if required